

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 twww.usplo.gev

| APPLICATION NO.                       | FILING DATE                      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/055,430                            | 01/25/2002                       | Rafael Rangel-Aldao  | 1390.0070006           | 7557             |
| 26111 75                              | 90 01/14/2005                    |                      | EXAMINER               |                  |
| STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC |                                  |                      | SHERRER, CURTIS EDWARD |                  |
|                                       | ORK AVENUE, N.W.<br>ON, DC 20005 |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                                       | •                                |                      | 1761                   |                  |

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   |   | _   | <u>~</u> |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|----------|--|--|--|
|   |   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |          |  |  |  |
|   |   | 10/055,430  | RANGEL-ALDAO E  | ET AL.   |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |          |  |  |  |
|   |   | Curtis E. Sherrer, Esc  | <u>.</u>  |          |  |  |  |
| Period fo                                     | The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply  | on appears on the cover she   | et with the correspondence add  | iress    |  |  |  |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the period for reply will, the period for reply will, the set or extended period for reply will, the period for reply will. | ΓΙΟΝ. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, r<br>tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum<br>y period will apply and will expire SIX (δ<br>by statute, cause the application to becc | nay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cor<br>me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |          |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| 1)[🛛  | Responsive to communication(s) filed or   | n <i>11/09/04</i> .   |   |          |  |  |  |
| <i>,</i> —                                    | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.   |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| 3)□   |   |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| ·   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| Disposit                                      | ion of Claims   |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠   | <ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 46-52 and 54 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 47, 48 and 52 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
|   |   |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| 5)□   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠   | Claim(s) <u>46,49-51 and 54</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| 7)  |   |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| 8)□   | Claim(s) are subject to restriction   | and/or election requiremen  | t.  |          |  |  |  |
| Applicat                                      | ion Papers  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| 9)[   | The specification is objected to by the Ex  | caminer.  |   |          |  |  |  |
| 10)   | 0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
|   |   |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| 11)   | The oath or declaration is objected to by   | the Examiner. Note the atta   | ached Office Action or form PT  | O-152.   |  |  |  |
| Priority                                      | under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| а)  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for to All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International   | uments have been received<br>uments have been received<br>ne priority documents have<br>Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | I. I in Application No been received in this National S   | Stage    |  |  |  |
| ~ ;   | See the attached detailed Office action fo  | гальгогие сеплес сорге  | inot received.  |          |  |  |  |
| Attachmer                                     | ot(s)   |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| _   | ce of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) 🗍 Inter  | view Summary (PTO-413)  |          |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice                                     | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-  | 948) Pape   | er No(s)/Mail Date  |          |  |  |  |
|   | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC<br>er No(s)/Mail Date   | /SB/08) 5) \( \bigcap \text{Notion} \) Othe   | ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-<br>rr:  | -152)    |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/055,430

Art Unit: 1761

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims 47, 48 and 52, drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 46, 49-51 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claim requires that the agent be immobilized on a solid support. While the specification discloses some of the claimed agents immobilized, it does not disclose aminoguanidine on a solid support.

Applicants state that language found in the specification provides support for the claim language in question. A review of the specification finds that the term "aminoguanidine" is listed on twice. On page 19 it is referred to as a chemical. For the next ten paragraphs, the only

disclosure is to reductase enzymes and its forms. The paragraph that supposedly provides support for the limitation that aminoguanidine is immobilized is

If added per se, cells capable of producing one or more reductase enzymes may be immobilized onto a solid support, at a density sufficient to provide enough enzymatic activity to substantially stabilize the flavor of the finished fermented malt beverage. Thus, in another preferred aspect of the invention, one or more of the above-described inhibitors, blockers, reducing agents or binding agents, such as one or more of the cells producing reductase enzymes, one or more of the extracts or enzymatic digests, or one or more of the purified reductase enzymes, may be immobilized on a solid support to form an "active solid support."

It is not clear what the phrase "the above-described" components encompasses. It would appear from the lack of disclosure on chemical agents in comparison to the disclosure directed to reductase enzymes, that said phrase refers to the enzymes being immobilized. All the remaining disclosure concerning immobilization is directed to the immobilization of reductase enzymes. There is no teaching of immobilizing aminoguanidine or chemical agents.

Applicants state that basis for this claim language is found inherently or explicitly. The evidence provided by applicants is not convincing that basis is found in the instant disclosure. It is noted that no US patent contains any teaching of immobilized aminoguanidine nor was it found in a search of the Internet. Applicants need to supply some type of evidence that immobilized aminoguanidine is inherently disclosed.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis E. Sherrer, Esq. whose telephone number is 571-272-1406. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 8AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-197 (toll-free)

Curtis E. Sherrer, Esq. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761